Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/821,129	DUQUESNOIS, LAURENT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Greg Bengzon	2144
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Greg Bengzon</u> .	(3) <u>Dan Piotrowski, Reg.f</u>	<u>No.42079</u> .
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2/15/2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	• •	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		·
•		·
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Atty. Piotrowski did not respond to multiple inquiries left via voice mail regarding status of said application.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	WILLIAM VAUG SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY OF A	Provide I
	TO TO TO TO THE TENT	ER 2100
(Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20080211